# PENDERGRASS FAIRWOLD SCHOOL 5935 Token St. Columbia, S. C. 29203 K-12 High School GRADES 38 Students ENROLLMENT Patricia W. Brown 803-735-3435 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Ronald L. Epps 803-231-7500 Vince Ford 803-231-7556 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: N/A Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A IMPROVEMENT RATING: N/A ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: z This school met 2 out of 5 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

#### PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	N/A	N/A	No
2004	N/A	N/A	No

#### DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

## HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School	I	High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006	
Passed 2 subtests	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Passed 1 subtest	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Passed no subtests	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

### EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2004

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	N/A	N/A

#### ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	N/A	N/A
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	N/A	N/A
Seniors who met the grade point average	N/A	N/A

<sup>\*</sup>Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

#### GRADUATION RATE

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours	
Number of Students	2	N/A	
Number of Diplomas	0	N/A	
Rate	I/S	N/A	

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS							
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004		Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Graduation Rate		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective
All Students	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	I/S	I/S
Gender							
Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	I/S	N/A
Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	I/S	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group							
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
African-American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	I/S	
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group							
Non disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Disabilities other than speech	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	I/S	N/A
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Non-migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency							
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Non-Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	I/S	N/A
Socio-Economic Status							
Subsidized meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	I/S	N/A
Full-pay meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	I/S	N/A

HSAP PERFORMANCE			-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	- 7 -	-,-	-
	Enrollment 1st	% Tested	% Below Basis	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participation Otto
Engli All Students	sh/Langua	ge Arts - 8 66.7	State Perf	ormance N/A	Objective 50.0	= 33.3% N/A	50.0	YES	, NC
Gender	J 3	00.7	30.0	IN/A	30.0	IN/A	30.0	ILS	IVC
Male	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Female	1	1/S	1/S	1/S	1/S	I/S	1/S	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group	1	1/5	1/5	1/5	1/5	1/0	1/5	IN/A	14/7
White	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/5
African-American	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/5
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/5
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/5
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/5
Disability Status		IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	14/7	IN/A	1/0	1/0
Not Disabled	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Disabled	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/5
Migrant Status		1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency	0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	14// (	14/7
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/5
Non-Limited English Proficient	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status		1,0	1,0	1,0	1,0	1,0	1,0	14// (	14/7
Subsidized meals	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/5
Full-pay meals	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Mathemati	•	•	•	•				
All Students	3	66.7	50.0	50.0	N/A	.0% N/A	50.0	YES	NC
Gender	3	00.7	30.0	30.0	IN/A	IN/A	30.0	IES	INC
Male	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Female	1	1/S	1/S	1/S	1/S	I/S	1/S	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group	1	1/5	1/5	1/5	1/5	1/0	1/5	IN/A	14/7
White	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/5
African-American	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/5
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/5
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/8
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/5
Disability Status		14/7	14/7	14/7	14/7	14/7	14/7	1/0	1/ (
Not Disabled	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Disabled	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/5
Migrant Status								., -	
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									- 47
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/5
Non-Limited English Proficient	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									,,
Subsidized meals	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/5
Full-pay meals	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/

## **Abbreviations for Missing Data**

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

## DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

Student attendance in this school

T efficer grass T all word School				4001905
SCHOOL PROFILE				
	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 38)			ZING GUIG	Control
Retention rate	36.4%	Down from 40.7%	24.0%	9.1%
Attendance rate	90.6%	Up from 85.0%	97.5%	96.0%
Eligible for gifted and talented	0.0%	No change	0.0%	5.8%
With disabilities other than speech	72.7%	Up from 72.2%	14.0%	12.7%
Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	47.4% 0.0%	Up from 45.8% No change	45.5% 0.0%	9.8% 1.6%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	0.0% N/AV	No change	0.0% N/A	10.2% 53.8%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No change	0.0%	2.7%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	N/A	N/A	15.8%	3.6%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	N/A	N/A	182	466
Students participating in worked-based experiences	N/A	N/A	18.7%	25.7%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	N/A	N/A	60.9%	77.7%
Career/technology completers placed	N/A	N/A	97.2%	99.3%
Teachers (n= 16)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	68.8% 75.0%	Up from 53.3% Down from 93.3%	46.5% 77.8%	52.0% 82.1%
Continuing contract teachers Highly qualified teachers**	75.0% 88.9%	N/A	84.6%	89.5%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	0.0%	IV/A	4.8%	8.6%
Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate	83.3% 94.2%	Up from 79.6% Down from 94.3%	83.3% 96.2%	86.2% 95.3%
Average teacher salary	\$47,005	Up 2.1%	\$41,490	\$41,060
Prof. development days/teacher	9.1 days	Up from 5.0 days	8.2 days	10.6 days
School				
Principal's years at school	3.0	Up from 2.0	2.5	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	4.8 to 1	Down from 6.5 to 1	8.1 to 1 88.2%	26.4 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	84.5% \$40,979	Up from 79.0% Up 27.4%	\$12,068	90.0% \$6,310
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	54.9%	Down from 58.9%	61.0%	57.9%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No change	Fair	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	99.0%	No change	80.5%	89.3%
SACS accreditation	Yes	No change	Yes	Yes
Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Average	N/A	Average	Good
		Our District		ate
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty		91.3%		.0%
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty	/ schools**	90.3% State Objective		.1% • Objective
Highly qualified teachers in this school*	*	65.0%		es
riigiliy qualilleu teachers in tills scribbl		05.0%	I	C3

<sup>\*\*</sup>NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

95.3%

No

#### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Pendergrass Fairwold is a center-based facility for pre-school special education students and medically fragile profound and trainable mentally disabled students. We also house a vocational program for special education self-contained students not yet 21, whose parents request additional IDEA services

Our student focus for the year has been adapting state standards for our trainable and profound population so that they will have the skills and experiences needed to successfully meet the challenges of the PACT-Alt (Palmetto Achievement Challenge Test Alternative model), the HSAP-Alt (High School Alternative Assessment Program) and successfully complete IEP (Individual Education Program) goals. During the 2003-2004 school year, 79% of all Fairwold students mastered 70% or more of their IEP goals. Our staff development focus has been improving our use of communication devices so that our students learn and utilize communication systems that allow them to successfully express their needs and wants.

The school's continued goal for instruction and staff development is to refine teaching strategies so that students have the types of successful learning experiences that will help them lead a life as independent and as rewarding as possible.

Patricia W. Brown, Principal

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS						
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*			
Number of surveys returned	12	0	3			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	75.0%	N/R	I/S			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	75.0%	N/R	I/S			
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	66.7%	N/R	I/S			
*Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without	out grade 11, only	the highest grade	was included.			